

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ENOVA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No. 10-04-JJF
)	
INITIO CORPORATION, INITIO)	
CORPORATION (California), WESTERN)	
DIGITAL CORPORATION, BUFFALO INC.,)	
AND BUFFALO TECHNOLOGY (USA),)	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
INC.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Enova Technology Corporation hereby alleges for its Complaint against Initio Corporation, Initio Corporation (California), Western Digital Corporation, Buffalo Inc., and Buffalo Technology (USA), Inc. as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Enova Technology Corporation (“Enova”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Taiwan, Republic of China, with its principal place of business in Hsin-Chu City, Taiwan, Republic of China.

2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Western Digital Corporation (“Western Digital”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Lake Forest, California. Upon information and belief, Western Digital develops, manufactures, and sells a variety of storage products such as disk drives worldwide to original equipment manufacturers, systems manufacturers, resellers and retailers under the Western Digital and WD brand names.

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Buffalo Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan, with its principal place of business in Nagoya, Japan.

Upon information and belief, Defendant Buffalo Technology (USA), Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Austin, Texas. Defendant Buffalo Inc. and Defendant Buffalo Technology (USA), Inc. are herein collectively referred to as “Buffalo.” Upon information and belief, Buffalo develops, manufactures, and sells a variety of computer peripheral products, including storage products such as disk drives worldwide to original equipment manufacturers, systems manufacturers, resellers and retailers under the Buffalo brand name.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Initio Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Taiwan, Republic of China, with its principal place of business in Taipei City, Taiwan, Republic of China. Upon information and belief, Defendant Initio Corporation (California) is a California corporation with its principal place of business in San Jose, California. Upon information and belief, Initio Corporation (California) is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Initio Corporation. Defendant Initio Corporation and Defendant Initio Corporation (California) are herein collectively referred to as “Initio.” Upon information and belief, Initio develops, manufactures, and sells semiconductor products such as integrated circuit (“IC”) controllers, software, and other related products and services to customers worldwide, including to disk drive manufacturers such as Western Digital and Buffalo.

JURISDICTION

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338, in that this is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code.

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants have committed and continue to commit acts of direct and indirect patent infringement in this District as alleged in this Complaint. Furthermore, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Western Digital and Defendant Buffalo Technology (USA), Inc. by virtue of their incorporation in Delaware.

VENUE

7. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

8. Enova is the current assignee, and the sole and exclusive owner of all right, title and interest in United States Patent No. 7,136,995 (“the ‘995 patent”), entitled “Cryptographic Device.” The ‘995 patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“PTO”) on November 14, 2006. The named inventor of the ‘995 patent is Shuning Wann. A copy of the ‘995 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

9. Enova is the current assignee, and the sole and exclusive owner of all right, title and interest in United States Patent No. 7,386,734 (“the ‘734 patent”), entitled “Real Time Data Encryption/Decryption System and Method for IDE/ATA Data Transfer.” The ‘734 patent was duly and legally issued by the PTO on June 10, 2008. The named inventors of the ‘734 patent are Shuning Wann, Chih-Chung Shih, I-Yao Chuang, and Bor Wen Chiou. A copy of the ‘734 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

10. The inventions of the ‘995 and ‘734 patents are directed to technology for encrypting/decrypting storage media, such as disk drives, in real time without materially compromising overall system performance. Prior to these inventions, encryption technology was too slow, and applying it to the contents of entire drives was not practical, as doing so would compromise overall system performance.

11. In or about April 2000, Mr. Wann founded Enova Technology, which has invested significantly in the technologies covered by the ‘995 and ‘734 patents and pioneered the development of ground-breaking products, including the first real-time full disk encryption ASIC. Enova has also invested heavily in growing the market for such products.

12. Defendants have ridden on the coattails of Enova’s significant investments in R&D and market development by infringing Enova’s patents, all to Enova’s damage.

COUNT ONE
(Infringement of Patent No. 7,136,995)

13. Enova re-alleges and incorporates by reference those allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-12 hereinabove.

14. This claim is made under the provisions of the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 *et seq.*

15. Defendant Initio has been and still is infringing (directly and/or indirectly), and will continue to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '995 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States certain IC controllers including, but not limited to, a model known as INIC-1607E (collectively the "Accused Initio Products").

16. Defendant Western Digital has been and still is infringing (directly and/or indirectly), and will continue to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '995 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States, certain hard disk drives including, but not limited to, models known as My Book Elite, My Book Essential, My Book for Mac, My Book Studio, My Passport Elite, My Passport Essential, My Passport Essential for Mac, and My Passport Essential SE (collectively the "Accused Western Digital Products"). Defendant Western Digital has incorporated into at least some of the Accused Western Digital Products, and will continue to incorporate into such products, one or more of the Accused Initio Products. The Accused Western Digital Products are sold to consumers over the Internet, at retail stores, and through other distribution channels throughout the United States, including Delaware.

17. Defendant Buffalo has been and still is infringing (directly and/or indirectly), and will continue to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '995 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States, including Delaware, certain hard disk drives including, but not limited to, a model known as DriveStation HD-CXU2 (collectively the "Accused Buffalo Products"). Defendant Buffalo

has incorporated into at least some of the Accused Buffalo Products, and will continue to incorporate into such products, one or more of the Accused Initio Products. The Accused Buffalo Products are sold to consumers over the Internet, at retail stores, and through other distribution channels throughout the United States, including Delaware.

18. Defendants have willfully infringed the '995 patent.

19. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement of the '995 patent, Enova has suffered and will continue to suffer serious irreparable injury and damages in an amount not yet determined for which Enova is entitled to relief.

20. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement of the '995 patent, Enova will continue to suffer serious irreparable injury unless Defendants' infringement of the '995 patent is enjoined.

COUNT TWO
(Infringement of Patent No. 7,386,734)

21. Enova re-alleges and incorporates by reference those allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-12 hereinabove.

22. This claim is made under the provisions of the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 *et seq.*

23. Defendant Initio has been and still is infringing (directly and/or indirectly), and will continue to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '734 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing into the United States certain IC controllers including, but not limited to, a model known as INIC-1607E (collectively the "Accused Initio Products").

24. Defendant Western Digital has been and still is infringing (directly and/or indirectly), and will continue to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '734 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States, certain hard disk drives including, but not limited to, models known as My Book Elite, My Book Essential, My Book for Mac, My Book Studio, My Passport Elite, My

Passport Essential, My Passport Essential for Mac, and My Passport Essential SE (collectively the “Accused Western Digital Products”). Defendant Western Digital has incorporated into at least some of the Accused Western Digital Products, and will continue to incorporate into such products, one or more of the Accused Initio Products. The Accused Western Digital Products are sold to consumers over the Internet, at retail stores, and through other distribution channels throughout the United States, including Delaware.

25. Defendant Buffalo has been and still is infringing (directly and/or indirectly), and will continue to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the ‘734 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States, including Delaware, certain hard disk drives including, but not limited to, a model known as DriveStation HD-CXU2 (collectively the “Accused Buffalo Products”). Defendant Buffalo has incorporated into at least some of the Accused Buffalo Products, and will continue to incorporate into such products, one or more of the Accused Initio Products. The Accused Buffalo Products are sold to consumers over the Internet, at retail stores, and through other distribution channels throughout the United States, including Delaware.

26. Defendants have willfully infringed the ‘734 patent.

27. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants’ infringement of the ‘734 patent, Enova has suffered and will continue to suffer serious irreparable injury and damages in an amount not yet determined for which Enova is entitled to relief.

28. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants’ infringement of the ‘734 patent, Enova will continue to suffer serious irreparable injury unless Defendants’ infringement of the ‘734 patent is enjoined.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Enova respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in its favor against Defendants and grant the following relief:

a. A judgment declaring that Defendants have directly and/or indirectly infringed one or more claims of the ‘995 and ‘734 Patents in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271;

b. A preliminary and permanent injunction, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, enjoining Defendants and their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from any further acts of infringement, inducement of infringement, or contributory infringement of the '995 and '734 patents;

c. A judgment, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, awarding Enova damages adequate to compensate Enova for Defendants' infringement of the '995 and '734 patents, in an amount to be determined at trial, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty;

d. A judgment pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, awarding Enova interest on the damages and its costs incurred in this action;

e. An award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs to Enova pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

f. An order, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, finding that this is an exceptional case and awarding to Enova its reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in this action; and

g. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Enova demands trial by jury on all issues so triable, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38.

ASHBY & GEDDES

/s/ Tiffany Geyer Lydon

Of Counsel:

Darryl M. Woo
Charlene M. Morrow
Michelle Cai
FENWICK & WEST LLP
555 California Street, 12th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 875-2300

Dated: February 1, 2010

John G. Day (I.D. #2403)
Tiffany Geyer Lydon (I.D. #3950)
Caroline Hong (I.D. #5189)
500 Delaware Avenue, 8th Floor
P.O. Box 1150
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 654-1888
jday@ashby-geddes.com
tlydon@ashby-geddes.com
chong@ashby-geddes.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff
Enova Technology Corporation*

Complaints and Other Initiating Documents

[1:10-cv-00004-JJF Enova Technology Corporation v. Initio Corporation et al](#)
PATENT

U.S. District Court

District of Delaware

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered by Lydon, Tiffany on 2/1/2010 at 6:22 PM EST and filed on 2/1/2010

Case Name: Enova Technology Corporation v. Initio Corporation et al
Case Number: [1:10-cv-00004-JJF](#)
Filer: Enova Technology Corporation
Document Number: [13](#)

Docket Text:

AMENDED COMPLAINT against Initio Corporation, Initio Corporation (California), Western Digital Corporation, Buffalo Inc., Buffalo Technology (USA), Inc.- filed by Enova Technology Corporation. (Attachments: # (1) Exhibit A, # (2) Exhibit B)(Lydon, Tiffany)

1:10-cv-00004-JJF Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Charlene M. Morrow cmorrow@fenwick.com

Darryl M. Woo dwoo@fenwick.com, anolen@fenwick.com

John G. Day jday@ashby-geddes.com, dfioravanti@ashby-geddes.com, lmaguire@ashby-geddes.com, mkipp@ashby-geddes.com, nlopez@ashby-geddes.com, sbalick@ashby-geddes.com, sfinnegan@ashby-geddes.com, tlydon@ashby-geddes.com

Michelle Cai mcai@fenwick.com

Tiffany Geyer Lydon tlydon@ashby-geddes.com, dfioravanti@ashby-geddes.com

1:10-cv-00004-JJF Notice has been delivered by other means to:

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description:Main Document

Original filename:n/a

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1079733196 [Date=2/1/2010] [FileNumber=916276-0]
[b776c4746cc97741e07b13cac7068ca1bf11d65b3957db9a7189a210effbd38fcceb3
e05b34b8dbca16f63c1abaa9dc80c011e446f2224549750bf17ec1ec968]]

Document description:Exhibit A

Original filename:n/a

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1079733196 [Date=2/1/2010] [FileNumber=916276-1]
[743fc9826a89f038bd68f40a925cf5b17a1f7f3fcd0d17ea967f2093e2d45ffdedcc8
c91b5bf2517d39e9db145276c81c522fe9129c5e50bfc127ab2785bc355]]

Document description:Exhibit B

Original filename:n/a

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1079733196 [Date=2/1/2010] [FileNumber=916276-2]
[8ceaad493ac7a15a6b212d9982cb1a64459286406869bf45739dde6996b903631cceb
d70a01c0f6b82584369b28499e2cd4717fcb27e59780c3a408045a23588]]